To: USPTO RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 6 2007

#### REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended. Claims 1, 8 and 15 have been amended. Claims 3-5, 7, 9-11, 13-14, 17-26, 29 and 32-33 were cancelled without prejudice. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1-2, 6, 8, 12, 15-16, 27-28, 30-31 and 34-35 are presented for examination.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: "the deliver vehicle" should read "the delivery vehicle".

Claims 1, 8 and 15 have been amended. Accordingly, Applicants request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 8 and 15.

#### 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejection

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 12, 15, 16, 27, 28, 30, 31, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements.

As an initial matter, Applicants profoundly disagree with the Examiner's rejection and assertions. For example, Applicants refer the Examiner to MPEP 2164.08(c) that recites "[a] feature which is taught as critical in a specification and is not recited in the claims should result in a rejection of such claim under the enablement provision section of 35 U.S.C. 112. See In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 1233, 188 USPQ 356, 358 (CCPA 1976). In determining whether an unclaimed feature is critical, the entire disclosure must be considered." (emphasis added) Hence, under MPEP 2164.08(c) and thus, under MPEP 2172.01, the Examiner's request is invalid. However, once again, to

Docket No.: 42390P6899 Application No.: 09/475,653

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 6 2007

expedite issuance of this case, Applicants submit new amendments to the pending independent claims. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claims 1, 8 and 15 and their dependent claims.

### Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Docket No.: 42390P6899 Application No.: 09/475,653

To: USPTO

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 6 2007

## Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

# Request for an Extension of Time

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

### Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: April 26, 2007

Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1030 (303) 740-1980

Docket No.: 42390P6899 Application No.: 09/475,653